



## Minutes / Action List

**Meeting:** Consultative Group

**Date / Time / Location:** 16<sup>th</sup> March 2017

**Present:** Mr Barry Hurley (BH) Patient Advocate, Mr Derek Dockrell (DD) HSE, Ms Mary Scally (MSc) DITSU, Ms Melda Slattery (MS) DIT, Mr Noel Gallagher (NG) DIT, Ms Maureen O’Sullivan TD (MOS) Public Representative, Mr Kieron Pierson (KP) DITSU, Ms Leslie Shoemaker (LS) DIT, Cllr Nial Ring (NR) DCC, Mr Ronan Doyle (RD) GDA, Ms Lori Keeve (LK) GDA

**Apologies:** Mr Eoin Farrell (EF) NTA, Cllr Áine Clancy (AC) DCC, Ms Angela Connolly (ACo) Patient Advocate, Dr Paul Horan (PH) DIT, Ms Sorcha Carthy (SC) HEA, Mr Boni Odoemene (BO) DITSU, Mr Donal Cassidy (DC) HSE, Mr Declan McGregor (DMG) Local Community, Mr Stewart Logan (SL) DECG, Ms Lorna Maguire (LM) Local Community,

Item	General Discussion / Action	Owner	Deadline
<b>1.</b>	<b>Minutes</b>		
1.1.	The minutes of the last meeting were agreed.		
<b>2.</b>	<b>Matters Arising</b>		
2.1.	MOS queried who the HSE Residential Care Centre (RCC) at Grangegorman would be earmarked for. DD informed the group that the RCC would be the North Dublin unit for seniors with St Clare’s Glasnevin closing and 12-15 transferred from St Mary’s. He noted that it will be replacement beds for St Elizabeth’s Court with approx. 112 beds in total.		

Item	General Discussion / Action	Owner	Deadline
<b>3.</b>	<b>Project Update</b>		
3.1.	RD gave a project update but noted that there has not been a lot of change since the last meeting.		
3.2.	<b>HSE Primary Care Centre:</b> It was noted that that project handover is imminent with de-snagging currently underway. DD noted that the building is anticipated to open in summer 2017.		
3.3.	<b>Student Accommodation:</b> RD informed the group that the GDA is waiting final approval on the preferred procurement option for Phase 1 which will see 700 beds on site once complete. There was a short discussion on the maximum beds permitted on site with RD clarifying that an Irish Times article last month was completely inaccurate as the maximum allowed under the Planning Scheme is 2,000. It was noted that this will continue to be a topical subject for discussion along with surrounding student accommodation in the area as the development progresses.		
3.4.	<b>Academic Hub:</b> RD noted that Design Team O'Donnell + Tuomey have completed Stage 1 design and will begin the next design phase soon. DD queried if the project still includes the Dublin City Council library element. RD informed the group that it would form part of the design. KP enquired when the opening date will be as there is a concern that with 10,000 students due when the PPPs are finished, temporary facilities will not be enough. RD noted that unfortunately there is none confirmed at the moment but that the issue KP raised is something being discussed and will not be ignored.		
3.5.	<b>D7 Educate Together – Planning Scheme Amendment:</b> RD noted that the proposal to amend the school from 16 – 24 classrooms was resubmitted to An Bord Pleanála in January due to new legislation. He then gave a brief overview of the legislation explaining that the proposed amendment will be deemed either a material or immaterial change. If it is an immaterial change, the Agency can move ahead with planning and development. If is a material change there will be a statutory consultative process which will have a big impact on the timeframe for the school's development. It is hoped that a decision will be reached by the end of May 2017.		

Item	General Discussion / Action	Owner	Deadline
3.6.	<p><b>Temp Car Park Off North Circular Road:</b> RD clarified that this project is consolidating the car parking currently permitted on site and placing it in this one area. He noted that a contractor will be appointed shortly and that a Meet the Contractor Information Evening will be held to discuss the works.</p>		
3.7.	<p><b>Phoenix Lane:</b> BH raised the issue of some negative interaction between service users of the Phoenix Care Centre and public users of the campus, noting that it has come up at the North Dublin meeting. There was some discussion around this and the management of protected spaces for the service users. RD noted that while there is campus security present, ultimately issues are reported to the Gardaí. LS questioned if the Gardaí were supportive of Grangegorman as she had heard otherwise. RD noted that there is strong support of the campus from the Gardaí with one of the Community Garda also a member of the Community Liaison Committee.</p>		
3.8.	<p><b>Pitches &amp; Playground:</b> RD informed the group that unfortunately there is a construction defect in the drainage for the grass pitches which will require them to be closed and handed back to the contractor. He added that dates are speculative as of yet but further information will be circulated to the Group once confirmed. It was noted that the minutes should reflect the disappointment of the Consultative Group as a whole on this issue.</p> <p>On a related but separate issue, RD updated the group on the playground and the netting to be installed to prevent stray balls from the grass pitches. He noted that the netting is currently being designed and will be fitted over the next 5 months as part of the contractor snagging works currently underway. He explained that a temporary solution of heras fencing had been put in place closing the playground during matches but that this will be removed shortly when the pitches are closed. It is hoped that the new permanent netting will be in place when the pitches reopen.</p>	GDA	

Item	General Discussion / Action	Owner	Deadline
3.9.	Brief updates were also given on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Residential Care Centre</li> <li>• PPPs</li> <li>• Energy Centre</li> <li>• Part VIII Proposal to Grangegorman Upper</li> <li>• Communications</li> <li>• Public Art</li> </ul>		
<b>4.</b>	<b>Any Other Business</b>		
4.1.	<p>NR raised the subject of Grangegorman's history and how this is captured as the development progresses. He expressed a concern that some of this may be lost and that present and future students should have a means to find out the significance of the buildings and heritage here. RD noted his agreement and informed that group that the GDA is drawing up the scope for such an endeavour. He noted that he envisages this as potentially being run similar to the Public Art programme, with a history coordinator contracted for the work. The group noted its interest and support of such a project. It was highlighted that it is also a community heritage project and as such local history and community groups should be involved. NR suggested that the Central Area Committee could potentially sponsor a community group scoping exercise through its commemoration funding.</p>		
<b>5.</b>	<b>Next Meeting</b>		
5.1.	The next meeting of the Consultative Group will be on the 22 <sup>nd</sup> June 2017.		